

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,028	09/04/2003	Troy J. Tranter	B-379	8489
7590 10/21/2005		EXAMINER		
Stephen R. Christian BBWI			JOHNSON, EDWARD M	
PO BOX 1625			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IDAHO FALLS, ID 83415-3899			1754	
		DATE MAILED: 10/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/656,028	TRANTER ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Edward M. Johnson	1754
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SH WHII - Exte afte - If NO - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Does not so time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposit	tion of Claims		
5)□ 6)፟⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat 9)□	Claim(s) 1-16 and 22-27 is/are pending in the adaptive day of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-16 and 22-27 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or tion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable.	wn from consideration. r election requirement. er. epted or b) □ objected to by the	
11)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical action for a list of the certified copies.	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 8/05	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/656,028 Page 2

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7-8, 10-15, and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruening et al. US 6,232,265.

Regarding claims 1 and 25, Bruening '265 discloses a method for making a selectively binding particulate composition comprising dissolving a mixture of pentaerythritol and Ag/KOH catalyst, adding acrylonitrile and pouring into water; and polymerizing (see Example 3).

Bruening fails to specifically disclose depositing to form an adsorption medium.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to deposit and form an adsorption medium because Bruening discloses particulate solid "supports", which would motivate depositing onto the disclosed

Application/Control Number: 10/656,028

Art Unit: 1754

support and also because Bruening discloses making a "selectively binding" particulate composition, which would motivate forming an adsorption medium.

Regarding claims 2-5, 8, 10-12, Bruening '265 discloses Ag/KOH solution (see Example 3).

Regarding claim 7, Bruening '265 discloses nitric acid (see Example 12).

Regarding claims 13-15, Bruening discloses a solid bead support and passing the solution over a column of the particles (abstract).

Regarding claims 26-27, Bruening '265 discloses metal oxides (see claim 4) and pouring into water (see Example 3).

Regarding claim 22, Bruening '265 discloses 42.45g polymerized acrylonitrile, and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use 10-85% elemental metal because Bruening '265 discloses 40% Ag/KOH, and removal by filtration, which would obviously, to one of ordinary skill, motivate 10-85% of Ag after removal of liquid by filtration with a balance of polyacrylonitrile.

Regarding claim 6, Bruening discloses magnesium sulfate (see Example 3) and acetic acid (Example 2), which would at least suggest a sulfate or acetate anion.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,028

Art Unit: 1754

Regarding claim 9, Bruening discloses 0.80 mole acrlonitrile and 0.10 tetranitrile (see Example 3).

Regarding claims 16, 23-24, Bruening '265 discloses 40% Ag/KOH, and removal by filtration, which would obviously, to one of ordinary skill, at least motivate 10-85% of Ag after removal of liquid by filtration with a balance of polyacrylonitrile.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 8/31/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It is argued that Bruening does not expressly or inherently describe each... form an adsorption medium. This is not persuasive because Bruening discloses mixing the acrylonitrile to form a "solution" (Example 3), which would require dissolving.

It is argued that Bruening also does not expressly or inherently describe the element of "depositing... adsorption medium." This is not persuasive because a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. And, in any case, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to deposit

Art Unit: 1754

and form an adsorption medium because Bruening discloses

particulate solid "supports", which would motivate depositing

onto the disclosed support and also because Bruening discloses

making a "selectively binding" particulate composition, which

would motivate forming an adsorption medium.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1754

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward M. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1352. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley S. Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edward M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1754